

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/866,458	05/25/2001	Gary G. Meadows	618.002US1	4123
	7590 02/25/2002			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
	.O. BOX 2938 IINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		GOLDBERG, JEROME D	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/866,458	MEADOWS ET AL.				
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The seal INO DATE of this account of the	Jerome D Goldberg	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	tne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	January 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-7 and 21-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ objected to by th€	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
a)  The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 5-7 and 21-30 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5.

Applicants' remarks about the restriction requirement are noted but the other serotonin agent are classified in different subclasses. The elected fluoxetine is in class 514, subclass 651, fluovoxamine is in class 514, subclass 652, paroxetine is in class 514, subclass 652, paroxetine is in class 514, subclass 652, paroxetine is in class 514, subclass 657 and citalopran is in class 514, subclass 469. Clearly, more searching would be required for the other serothonin agent. This would be as undue burden on the Examiner. Therefore, the restriction required is seen proper asid made Final.

Claims 1-4 and 8-20 are being examined as they read on the elected fluoxetine.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1614

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Brandes patent.

The Brandes patent teaches fluoxetine for treating cancer (see col. 19, claims 41 and 40, col. 18, claim 37 and col. 17, claim 23.

The patent teaches that the combination is effective for "cancer such as sarcoma and melanoma" (col. 5, lines 8 and 9) including metastases (col. 12, lines 1-10). The patent does not teach and administration but state in col. 4, lines 15-16 that the "antagonist compound employed in the present invention is administered to the patent in any convenient manner...".

Accordingly, one skilled in this art would find ample motivation from the prior art supra to use the claimed fluoxetine against the instant cancers with a reasonable to comprising which expectation that said composition would be effective. The claims are directed, would include other ingredients. Claims directed to "consisting of" would overcome this rejection.

Claims 1-4, 8-10, 13-16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the specific serotonin agent disclosed, does not reasonably provide enablement for the terms "serotonin agent" or "serotonin reuptake inhibitor". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The term " a serotonin agent" in

Art Unit: 1614

claims 1-4, 8, 13-15, 19 and 20 and "serotonin reuptake inhibitor" in claims 9, 10, and 16 lacks clear exemplary support in the specification as filed. The limited number of examples will not support such a broad terms.

Claims 1, 2, 8-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the specific cancer disclosed, does not reasonably provide enablement for the term "cancer" or "tumor growth". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The term "cancer" is claims 1, 8-14 and 16-18 and "tumor growth" in claim 2 lack clear exemplary support in the specification as filed.

The cancer therapy art remains highly unpredictable, and no examples exist for efficacy of compound against cancers generally. Therefore, based on the unpredictable nature of the invention and lack of guidance and working examples, and extreme breath of the claims, one skilled in this art could not use the entire scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation. Changing the term cancers or cancer cells to the specific cancers disclosed would overcome this rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Goldberg whose telephone number is 308-4606. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9 AM to 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Cintins, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1614

Page 5

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Goldberg/LR

February 20, 2002

JEROME D. GOLDBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER